



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATIO		
10/651,829	08/28/2003	Bryon E. Petersen	5853-265	9385	
7590 01/09/2006			EXAMINER		
Stanley A. Kim., Ph.D., Esq			GAMETT, DANIEL C		
Akerman Senterfitt Suite 400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
222 Lakeview Avenue West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3188			1647		
			DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/651,829	i	PETERSEN ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Daniel C. Gamett	·	1647				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 🛛 📗	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 S</u>	Septemb <u>er 2005</u> .						
,—	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 and 13-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖾	6)⊠ Claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are rejected.							
, —	,— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
8) Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examin							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 								
Attachment 1) Notice	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list (s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	et of the certified c	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	/ (PTO-413) pate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/651,829 Page 2

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

The amendments of 09/21/2005 have been entered in full. Claims 1-10 and 13-21 are
withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a
nonelected invention. Claims 11 and 12 are under examination.

- 2. All objections and rejections set forth in the office action mailed 06/21/2005 not specifically maintained in this office action are hereby withdrawn.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Rejections of Claims 11 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rao *et al.*, US Patent 6830927, and of claim 11 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ma *et al.*, Nat. Neurosci. 2(1):24-30, 1999, are maintained. Applicant's arguments filed 09/21/2005 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. It is recognized that the claimed process for deriving a neural cell is not anticipated by the references. However, amended claim 11 is a product-by-process claim. The product is defined in the preamble as being "a cell with a neural phenotype". Claim 12 adds the limitation that the cell expresses βIII tubulin. The record indicates that Rao *et al.* teach a cell with a neural phenotype, which expresses βIII tubulin. Ma *et al.* also teach a cell with a neural phenotype. A product made by any other process renders a product-by-process claim unpatentable. See In re Marosi, 710 F.2d 799, 218 USPQ 289 (Fed. Cir. 1983) and In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Application/Control Number: 10/651,829 Page 3

Art Unit: 1647

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 11 appears to be a product-by-process claim, but the relationship between the product defined in the preamble and the process (everything following the word 'wherein') is not clear. Amendment to include "wherein said cell is produced by a process in which" or a grammatical equivalent would obviate this lack of clarity. In addition, the term "liver stem cell" is problematic. Although the terms "hepatic oval cell" and "liver stem cell" are often used interchangeably, the latter term has been a subject of controversy, as set forth in Patent Application Publication 20020182188, sections [0012] to [0023], cited by Applicant. In contrast, "hepatic oval cell" is more precisely defined in the instant specification and in the prior art.

Conclusion

- 6. No claims are allowed.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).
 Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1647

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel C Gamett, Ph.D., whose telephone number is 571 272 1853. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 571 272 0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 273 8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DCG Art Unit 1647 5 January 2006

DAVID S. ROMEO